UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/502,091	07/22/2004	Hiroaki Sudo	L9289.04147	4015
<sup>24257</sup> Dickinson Wrig	7590 10/20/200 ht PLLC	8	EXAMINER	
James E. Ledbe	tter, Esq.	BRANDT, CHRISTOPHER M		
International So 1875 Eye Street	juare t, NW., Suite 1200	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTO			2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/20/2008	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/502,091	SUDO, HIROAKI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	CHRISTOPHER M. BRANDT	2617	

	CHRISTOPHER M. BRANDT	2617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>02 October 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.				
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance v	t, or other evidence, www. with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
NOTICE OF APPEAL  2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
AMENDMENTS						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to  (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo)  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a contraction of the present additional claims.	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w); ter form for appeal by materially rec	E below); lucing or simplifying t				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		P	DTOL 004)			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	it canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected:  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		be entered and an e	xplanation of			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail	s to provide a			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.		condition for allowan	ce because:			
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	P10/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
/George Eng/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617	/Christopher M Brandt/ Examiner, Art Unit 2617					

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Application No.

Continuation of 11. With regard to applicant's argument that Walton, Arai, and Sakoda fail to disclose or suggest adjusting a frequency band to which the multiplexed spread signals are transmitted, by distributing the multiplexed spread signals to a plurality of subcarriers and varying a subcarrier group to which the spread signals of the multiplexed specific transmit symbols are distributed in accordance with the first spreading ratio upon distribution, the examiner respectfully disagrees. As stated in the previous communication, Sakoda teaches frequency-converting the transmission signal into a desired frequency band., where a transmitter performs multi carrier communication for transmitting the information bit stream to be transmitted with pluralities of subcarriers. In addition, the transmitter can stepwise increase transmission power by using only the spread code having one type of a spreading ratio, performing multiplication in parallel correspondingly to the increase of bit rates and thereafter performing multiplexing (paragraphs 148, 177). Moreover, Sakoda discloses that a spread-code generating section supplies the spread code by changing (i.e. varying) the spreading ratio of the code in accordance with a control signal supplied from a control section. Thereby, the receiver generates reception symbol streams having the same bit rate as that when performing encoding at the transmission side by multiplying the reception symbol stream by the spread codes having spreading ratios different from each other (paragraph 164). As a result, the claims are written such that they read upon the cited references.

Chris Brandt Art Unit 2617 10/10/2008